

Investigating the Impact of Disconfirmation and Satisfaction on Consumer Choices Using Expected Utility

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements of the degree of Doctor in Philosophy (PhD) in Marketing, at the University of Technology, Sydney (UTS)

2012


Con Korkofingas

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

Con Korkofingas



A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Korkofingas", is written over a horizontal line. The signature is fluid and stylized, with the first letter 'K' being particularly large and prominent.

Acknowledgements

The process of completing this thesis was the culmination of a long and demanding but satisfying journey. I owe my family, colleagues and friends a great deal for helping me to complete the PhD, but would especially like to express my thanks to the following:

Firstly, I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Jordan Louviere, at CENSOC for being a mentor and collaborator during the past few years. Thanks for believing in my potential and for your support and guidance throughout the program. In particular, I greatly appreciate your substantial investment of time and your understanding throughout the process. An invaluable contribution to this thesis was made by your understanding of the techniques of stated choice methods and experimental design.

I would also like to thank Paul Burke and Paul Wang for being 2nd supervisors on this thesis ensuring its completion. Special thanks to Paul Burke for guiding the last few months of the thesis to completion.

I also acknowledge the staff at Macquarie University who have provided guidance and assistance over the years it has taken me to complete the thesis. I specifically acknowledge both Lawrence Ang and Lucy Taksa who have given me relief from my duties at Macquarie in the last few months to reach the point of submission of the thesis.

Lastly, I would like to thank my parents and my family who have helped me throughout the time taken to complete the thesis. As with most theses, completion of the thesis would be almost impossible without the understanding and allowances made by family members. I would also like to give special thanks to Dorian Kipriotis who helped compile the reference list for this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1 – Introduction page 1

1.1. Introduction.....	2
1.2. Literature Review	6
1.3. Research Objectives and Research Contribution	65
1.4. Theory.....	71
1.5. Methodology	82
1.6. Following Chapters	93

CHAPTER 2 – Investigating the Impact of Expectancy Disconfirmation and Satisfaction on Choices page 95

2.1. Introduction.....	96
2.2. Research Objectives	99
2.3. The Proposed Model and Research Hypotheses	101
2.4. The Experiment.....	107
2.5. Results and Analysis.....	114
2.6. Conclusions and Limitations.....	131

CHAPTER 3 – The Impact of Variable Expectations on Satisfaction Judgments and the Satisfaction Choice Link..... page 136

3.1. Introduction.....	137
3.2. Literature Review and Research Objectives	140
3.3. The Proposed Model and Research Hypotheses	148

3.4. The Experiments.....	155
3.5. Results and Analysis.....	163
3.6. Implications, Limitations and Conclusions.....	197
 CHAPTER 4 – Investigating the Impact of Expectancy Disconfirmation and Satisfaction on Choice Consistency	page 210
4.1. Introduction.....	211
4.2. Literature Review and Research Objectives	215
4.3. The Proposed Model and Research Hypotheses	230
4.4. The Experiments.....	238
4.5. Results and Analysis.....	242
4.6. Implications, Limitations and Conclusions.....	265
 CHAPTER 5 – The Impact of Expectancy Disconfirmation and Satisfaction on Choices assuming Heterogeneous Preference and Scale Functions	page 276
5.1. Introduction.....	277
5.2. Literature Review and Research Objectives	281
5.3. The Model.....	291
5.4. The Experiments.....	297
5.5. Results and Analysis.....	301
5.6. Implications, Limitations and Conclusions.....	317
 CHAPTER 6 – Conclusions	325
6.1. Overall Thesis Summary	326

6.2. Summary of Findings	328
6.3. Implications of the Findings	334
6.4. Limitations and Further Research	340
6.5. Final Note.....	347
APPENDICES.....	
C.1. Experimental Design for Choice Scenarios in Stages 1 and 3	page 348
C.2. Example of a Choice Scenario used for Stages One, Three.....	349
C.3. Initial Choice Scenarios used in Stage Two for the Broadband Experiment .	350
C.4. Hypothetical Product Experience Information Example	351
BIBLIOGRAPHY	page 352

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

TABLES:

Chapter 2:

Table 2.1. Attributes and Attribute Levels used in Experiment 1	page 108
Table 2.2 - Pre-Experience Logistic Regression (Leap = 1)	115
Table 2.3. Satisfaction Category Counts for Both Initial Context Scenarios	116
Table 2.4. Predictive Expectations of D/load Speeds for Both Initial Scenario Groups	117
Table 2.5. Pre and Post Experience Stage Two Choices (Both Initial Context Scenarios).....	117
Table 2.6. Satisfaction with Leap and Immediate Post Experience Choices.	120
Table 2.7. Logistic Reg. Stage Two Choices (Leap =1) using Satisfaction	121
Table 2.8. Percentage of Respondents Choosing Leap in Stages 1 and 3	123
Table 2.9. Logistic Regression Stage Three Choices (Leap =1)	125
Table 2.10. Summary of Satisfaction Functional Form Tests.....	129

Chapter 3:

Table 3.1.Attributes and Attribute Levels for the Two Experiments....	page 157
Table 3.2 - Attribute Levels(Initial Choice Scenarios/Contexts) in Stage 2..	159
Table 3.3. Logistic Reg. Results (Stage 1) for Both Experiments (PowerPak =1).....	164
Table 3.4. Choice Probabilities (PowerPak) for Each Scenario (stage two) in Both Experiments	167
Table 3.5. Average Predictive Expectations of Selected Battery Lifetimes for Both Brands	169
Table 3.6. Comparison of Satisfaction % for Each Context in Each Experiment	171
Table 3.7. Two Ordinal Logistic Regressions for Satisfaction for Experiment 1	174

Table 3.8. Three Ordinal Logistic Regressions for Satisfaction for Experiment.....	177
Table 3.9. Two Ordinal Logistic Reg. for Satisfaction for Combined Data...	180
Table 3.10. Choice/Switching Between Stages One and Three for Both Experiments.....	185
Table 3.11. Choice/Switching at Different Levels of Variability for Both Experiments	187
Table 3.12. Switching at Different Satisfaction Levels for Both Experiments	187
Table 3.13. Logistic Regressions for Post Experience Choices (Each Experiment).....	189
Table 3.14. Logistic Regression for Post-Experience Choices (Combined Sample)	193

Chapter 4:

Table 4.1. Key Features of Each of the Three Experiments	page 239
Table 4.2 - Initial Results; All Stages, All Experiments	243
Table 4.3. Logistic Regressions for Post Choices Experiment One (Disconfirmation)	247
Table 4.3a. Logistic Regressions for Post Choices Experiments Two and Three (Disconfirmation).....	248
Table 4.4. Logistic Regressions for Post Choices Experiment One (Satisfaction).....	251
Table 4.4a. Logistic Regressions for Post Choices Experiments 2 & 3 (Satisfaction).....	252
Table 4.5. Logistic Regression for Post Choices Experiment 1 (Whitened Satisfaction).....	254
Table 4.5a. Logistic Regressions Post Choices, Experiments 2 & 3 (Whitened Satisfaction).....	256
Table 4.6. Difference in log-likelihood and χ^2 tests for Hypotheses H1-H3 (Disconfirmation).....	259
Table 4.7. Satisfaction Coefficients and LL Tests for Selected Functional Forms	262

Chapter 5

Table 5.1. Key Features of Experiments 1 and 3..... page 298

Table 5.2 - Pre-experience Choices-Experiment 1
(4 Location, 2 Scale Classes) 303

Table 5.3. Post-Experience Choices -Exp 1- (3 Location, 3 Scale Classes).... 306

Table 5.4. Scale Membership Model – Experiment 1 310

Table 5.5. Post Experience Choices – Exp 3 (4 Location, 2 Scale Classes).....312

Table 5.6. Scale Membership Model – Experiment 3 316

FIGURES AND SCHEMATICS:

Figures:

Figure 1.1. Design Schematic for Three Stage Experiment..... page 84

Figure 2.1. Scatterplot of Pre and Post-Experience Attribute
Taste Weights.....126

Figure 2.2. Estimated Satisfaction Category Coefficients from Table 2.9.....128

Figure 3.1. Implied Disconfirmation Coefficients in the Satisfaction
Function for Different Variability Levels..... . 183

Figure 3.2. Implied Satisfaction Coefficients for Variable/Non-variable
Expectations..... .195

Schematics:

Schematic 1.1. Early Process Model for CSD..... page 23

Schematic 1.2. The Hellier et al. (2002) CSD model.....44

Abstract

In recent years there has been disenchantment with the performance and relevance of customer satisfaction/dissatisfaction (CSD) models in applied business contexts. The performance of these models in predicting post experience outcomes is relatively poor. This can be attributed to issues with the development of CSD models and the complexity of the process being modeled.

One of the potential problems with CSD models is a lack of a detailed mechanism to model consumer decisions or choices. The standard structural equation models (SEM) typically used in CSD studies, do not have developed decision criteria which explain consumer choices. Expected utility (EU), however provides a basis for modeling the consumer decision process and modeling consumer choices. An EU framework which incorporates elements of CSD models is proposed to investigate the impact of disconfirmations and satisfaction on post experience consumer choice behavior.

An EU framework overcomes many of the weaknesses inherent in conventional CSD. Through the use of designed experimental choice scenarios, the impact of expectations, product performance, disconfirmations and satisfaction on post-experience choices is estimated using summary statistics and discrete choice models such as ordinal logistic regression and binary logistic regression.

The impact of disconfirmation and satisfaction on EU is investigated as the thesis progresses by successive relaxation of specified restrictions on the consumer EU function. Four separate investigations into the impact of disconfirmations on satisfaction and disconfirmation and satisfaction on post-experience choices are conducted. These four investigations (which form

the basis of Chapters 2 through to Chapter 5 of the thesis) separately examine circumstances of point only expectations(Chapter 2), expectation variability(Chapter 3), impacts on the error component of EU (Chapter 4) and relaxation of the assumption of homogeneous preferences and scale components in the EU function (Chapter 5).

Overall, from the results of these investigations it is found that disconfirmation and satisfaction are found to have significant impacts on future choices although this impact varies with variability of expectations and consumer segments. Updated expectations for the experienced brands also appear to be relevant in explaining post experience expected utility.

The results provide insights for researchers and managers as to how product experiences and measured satisfaction can be used to provide essential input for decisions and to improve prediction of future key performance indicators.